Penny L. Koepke 1 pkoepke@hoalow.biz MAXWELL & MORGAN, P.C. 2 4854 E. Baseline Road, Suite 104 Mesa, Arizona 85206 3 Tel: (480) 833-1001 4 [Additional counsel appearing on signature page] 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Class 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 9 Brenda Whittaker, an individual, individually and on behalf of all others Case No. 3:22-cv-08077-SMB 10 similarly situated, 11 JOINT PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT Plaintiff, 12 13 v. FAC Filed: October 6, 2021 14 Paul Moss Insurance Agency, LLC d/b/a 15 Epiq Insurance Agency, an Ohio limited liability company, 16 17 Defendant. 18 Plaintiff Brenda Whittaker ("Plaintiff" or "Whittaker") and Defendant Paul Moss 19 Insurance Agency, LLC d/b/a Epiq Insurance Agency ("Defendant" or "Epiq") jointly 20 submit this Proposed Case Management Report pursuant to Rule 26(f) of the Federal 21 Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Court's Order Setting Rule 16 Scheduling Conference 22 (dkt. 12). 23 The parties who attended the Rule 26(f) meeting and assisted in developing 1. 24 the Case Management Report: 25 On behalf of Plaintiff Brenda Whittaker: Taylor T. Smith 26 On behalf of Defendant Paul Moss Insurance Agency, LLC d/b/a Epiq Insurance Agency: 27 Leah McKeever and Sitar Bhatt 28

2. A list of the parties in the case, including any parent corporations or entities (for recusal purposes):

<u>Plaintiff:</u> Brenda Whittaker and the alleged Class

<u>Defendant:</u> Paul Moss Insurance Agency, LLC d/b/a Epiq Insurance Agency

3. A short statement of the nature of the case:

<u>Plaintiff's Position:</u> The case challenges Defendant's alleged violations of the Telephone Consumer Protections Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq. ("TCPA" or the "Act"), specifically their practice of sending unsolicited telemarketing calls to cellular telephone numbers registered on the National Do Not Call Registry.

The facts are straightforward: Plaintiff registered her cellular telephone number on the DNC Registry on December 19, 2017. Notwithstanding this registration, Defendant placed at least three (3) calls to Plaintiff to solicit her to purchase Defendant's good and services. All of the calls were placed for the purpose of soliciting Plaintiff to purchase Defendant's products and services. Plaintiff also did not provide any prior express consent to receive the calls at issue. Accordingly, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself and a Class of similarly situated individuals, brings this action to put an end to Defendant's serial violations of the TCPA.

<u>Defendant's Position:</u> Defendant denies it violated the TCPA. Plaintiff provided express consent for Defendant to contact plaintiff. Defendant did not contact plaintiff prior to receiving consent. Further, plaintiff did not revoke the consent prior to Defendant contacting plaintiff. Defendant denies there are similarly situated individuals.

4. The jurisdictional basis for the case, describing the basis for jurisdiction and citing specific statutes:

This case is an alleged class action brought under the TCPA, a federal statute. As such, the Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331. Further, Plaintiff alleges that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under the Class

Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d), *et seq.* ("CAFA") because there are over 100 putative class members, there is minimal diversity, and there is over \$5,000,000 at issue when the claims of the Class are aggregated. None of the exceptions to CAFA applies.

Defendant agrees this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, but disputes plaintiff's allegation the Court has jurisdiction arising under the CAFA as plaintiff has not established a class of more than 100 members nor more than \$5,000,000 in controversy.

5. Any parties which have not been served and an explanation of why they have not been served, and any parties which have been served but have not answered or otherwise appeared:

All parties have been served and there are no issues with service.

6. A statement of whether any party expects to add additional parties to the case or otherwise to amend pleadings:

<u>Plaintiff's Position:</u> At this time, Plaintiff does not expect to add any additional parties or amend the pleadings. However, Plaintiff reserves the right to amend to add additional parties that may be identified in discovery. Plaintiff requests a four month deadline to amend pleadings.

<u>Defendant's Position:</u> Defendant reserves the right to amend to add additional parties that may be identified in discovery. Defendant requests a four month deadline to amend pleadings.

7. A listing of contemplated motions and a statement of the issues to be decided by those motions:

<u>Plaintiff's Position:</u> Plaintiff intends to move for class certification following an appropriate period of class discovery. Plaintiff also intends to move for summary judgment after a class has been certified. Plaintiff may also need to file a motion to amend the pleadings and discovery motions as appropriate.

<u>Defendant's Position:</u> Defendant intends to move for summary judgment after initial discovery is completed. Defendant also may file a motion to amend the pleadings and discovery motions as appropriate.

8. Whether the case is suitable for reference to a United States Magistrate Judge for a settlement conference or trial:

The parties do not consent to referring any aspect of the case to a United States Magistrate Judge.

9. The status of any related cases pending before other courts or other judges of this Court:

The parties are not aware of any related cases.

10. A discussion of any issues relating to preservation, disclosure, or discovery of electronically stored information, including the parties' preservation of electronically stored information and the form or forms in which it will be produced (see Rules 16(b)(3), 26(f)(3)):

During the Rule 26(f) conference, counsel for the Parties discussed the potential ESI implicated in this case. The Parties confirm that any relevant ESI is being appropriately preserved. Should discovery proceed, the Parties are committed to working together to reduce the costs of ESI.

11. A discussion of any issues relating to claims of privilege or work product (see Rules 16(b)(3), 26(f)(3)):

The parties agree to prepare and produce a privilege log with respect to all documents, electronically stored information, things and oral communications withheld on the basis of a claim of privilege or work product protection except the following: written and oral communications between a party and its counsel after commencement of the action and in anticipation of litigation and work product material created after commencement of the action and in anticipation of litigation.

Defendant will disclose its relevant confidential internal policies and procedures

1	related to telemarketing in accordance with a stipulated confidentiality and protective		
2	order agreement.		
3			
4	12. A disc	cussion of whether an order under Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d) is inted in this case:	
5	Plaint	iff's Position: Plaintiff does not request a Rule 502(d) Order	
6	Defen	dant's Position: Defendant requests a Rule 502(d) Order.	
7			
8	13. A discussion of necessary discovery. This discussion should take into account the December 1, 2015 amendments to Rule 26(b)(1), and should include:		
9 10	a. Th wh	e extent, nature, and location of discovery anticipated by the parties and y it is proportional to the needs of the case;	
11		<u>Plaintiff's Position:</u> Discovery is needed regarding certain threshold issues	
12	that will materially advance the case:		
13	(1)	Whether Defendant procured prior express invitation or permission to place	
14		the calls at issue;	
15	(2)	Whether Defendant placed calls to consumers whose numbers are	
16		registered on the National DNC Registry en masse;	
17	(3)	Whether the proposed class can be certified as a class action in accordance	
18	with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23;		
19	(4)	Whether Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief;	
20	(5)	If Defendant violated the TCPA, whether it did so willfully; and	
21	(6)	Defendant's affirmative defenses.	
22	<u>Defen</u>	dant's Position: Defendant intends to seek discovery to establish the	
23	following:		
24	(1) Pla	nintiff expressly consented to receive telemarketing communications;	
25	(2) De	efendant did not violate the TCPA;	
26	(3) Pla	aintiff fails to establish a putative class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23	
27	and CAFA;		
28	(4) Di	scovery to Dispute plaintiff's allegation;	

10 11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

20

19

21 22

23 24

25

27

28

26

(5) Defendant's affirmative defenses; and

- (6) Defendant reserves the right to expand upon this list as discovery progresses.
- b. Suggested changes, if any, to the discovery limitations imposed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;

The parties do not propose any changes to the discovery limitations or length of depositions provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

c. The number of hours permitted for each deposition. The parties should consider whether a total number of depositions hours should be set in the case, such as 20 total hours for Plaintiffs and 20 total hours for Defendants. Such overall time limits have the advantage of providing an incentive for each side to be as efficient as possible in each deposition, while also allowing parties to allocate time among witnesses depending on the importance and complexity of subjects to be covered with the witnesses.

The parties do not propose any changes to the hourly limits for depositions set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(d).

14. **Proposed Deadlines**

Plaintiff's Position: Discovery should proceed with respect to both class certification and merits issues for a period of ten (10) months. The first eight (8) months will be devoted to written and oral fact discovery. The final two (2) months of this period will be devoted to experts. Following the close of this initial ten (10) month discovery period, the parties will brief class certification. Following a ruling on class certification the Court would hold a subsequent case management conference and establish a schedule for the remainder of the case, including a period for any remaining merits-based discovery, and dates for summary judgment briefing, pre-trial conferences, and the trial.

Plaintiff proposes the following discovery schedule:

Event	Proposed Deadlines	
Motion to Amend Pleadings and/or Add	November 18, 2022	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Parties	
Exchange Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures	August 12, 2022
Complete Fact Discovery	March 24, 2023
Plaintiff's Expert Disclosures Due	March 31, 2023
Defendant's Expert Disclosures Due	April 21, 2023
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Due	May 5, 2023
Complete Expert Discovery	May 26, 2023
Engage in Good Faith Settlement	March 24, 2023
Discussions	
Plaintiff to File Motion for Class	June 2, 2023
Certification	
Defendant to File Opposition to Class	June 30, 2023
Certification	
Plaintiff to File Reply ISO Motion for	July 14, 2023
Class Certification	
Subsequent Case Management	To be set after a ruling on class
Conference	certification
File Dispositive Motions	TBD

Defendant's Position:

25 **Event Proposed Deadlines** 26 Motion to Amend Pleadings and/or Add November 18, 2022 Parties 28

27

24

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8
	9
	0
2	
_	

Exchange Rule 26(a) Initial Disclosures	August 12, 2022	
Complete Fact Discovery	March 31, 2023	
Plaintiff's Expert Disclosures Due	April 7, 2023	
Defendant's Expert Disclosures Due	June 2, 2023	
Rebuttal Expert Disclosures Due	July 7, 2023	
Complete Expert Depositions	August 11, 2023	
Engage in Good Faith Settlement	July 28, 2023	
Discussions		
Plaintiff to File Motion for Class	April 28, 2023	
Certification		
Defendant to File Opposition to Class	May 26, 2023	
Certification		
Plaintiff to File Reply ISO Motion for	June 9, 2023	
Class Certification		
Subsequent Case Management	To be set after a ruling on class	
Conference	certification	
File Dispositive Motions	TBD	

15. Whether a jury trial has been requested and whether the request for a jury trial is contested (if the request is contested, briefly set forth the reasons):

Plaintiff has demanded a jury trial. Defendant does not contest.

16. The prospects for settlement, including any request of the Court for assistance in settlement efforts:

The Parties do not request Court assistance with settlement talks at this time. The

1	Parties reserve the right to request a judicial settlement conference after initial discovery					
2	has ta	has taken place.				
3						
4	17.		the Court and parties in resolving this case in			
5		Procedure 1.	manner as required by Federal Rule of Civil			
6		There are no additional matters n	eed to be addressed at this time.			
7						
8	Dated	l: July 19, 2022	By: /s/ Taylor T. Smith One of Plaintiff's Attorneys			
10			Penny L. Koepke			
11			pkoepke@hoalow.biz 4854 E. Baseline Road, Suite 104			
12			Mesa, Arizona 85206 Tel: (480) 833-1001			
13			Taylor T. Smith (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i>)			
14			tsmith@woodrowpeluso.com Woodrow & Peluso, LLC			
15			3900 East Mexico Ave., Suite 300 Denver, Colorado 80210			
16			Telephone: (720) 907-7628 Facsimile: (303) 927-0809			
17			Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class			
18	Dated	l: July 19, 2022	By: <u>/s/ Sitar Bhatt</u>			
19			Sitar Bhatt, State Bar Number 029622			
20			sbhatt@tysonmendes.com			
21			TYSON & MENDES, LLP 7910 East Thompson Peak Parkway, Suite 101			
22			Scottsdale, Arizona 85255			
23			Telephone: (480) 571-5031 Facsimile: (480) 245-5424			
24			, ,			
25			Attorney for Defendant			
26						
27						
28						

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above titled document was served upon counsel of record by filing such papers via the Court's ECF system on July 19, 2022. /s/ Taylor T. Smith